I'm not robot	reCAPTCHA

Continue

Mammalogy feldhamer pdf free printable worksheets free

B) The cub that forages on natural foods exclusively as a yearling, and F) eventually, as an adult. The first 3 hypotheses were provided by Mazur and Seher (2008): 1) the genetic inheritance hypothesis claims that bears inherit behavioral or temperamental dispositions that bias them toward foraging on particular foods in specific habitats; 2) the social learning hypothesis states that foraging behavior is acquired via independent trial and error. Stable isotopes to detect food-conditioned bears and to evaluate human-bear management. I conducted the following statistical analyses on 37 FC bears and 113 NFC bears. Analytical procedures.—I used genotypes from 8 micro-satellite loci provided by Hopkins et al. They concluded that the foraging behavior of yearlings was strongly related to their rearing conditions as cubs (Mazur and Seher 2008). Their r analysis was more appropriate for testing genetic inheritance, which generates a prediction is invalid based on what was learned from Mazur and Seher (2008). 1997; Supporting Information S2, DOI: 10.1644/13-MAMM-A 009.S2) to estimate coefficients of relatedness and most probable relationship. Following independence, these bears continued to seek out human foods, although this behavior is not always consistent. I conducted all statistical tests using R (2.13.0—R Core Team 2012). . (2008) did not observe females switch from foraging on human foods as independents to rearing their cubs in undeveloped areas on natural foods exclusively (S. Animal behaviors are genetically inherited (Arnold 1981), acquired from the environment via learning (Heyes and Galef 1996; Box and Gibson 1999; Galef and Whiskin 2001), or shaped by the interplay of genes and learning (Kandel et al. Social learning can enable animals to acquire information relevant to many biologically important activities such as choosing a mate, avoiding predators, and foraging (Kendal et al. Transported yearlings either C) establish a new home range outside their natal areas or D) return to developed areas to seek out human foods. For this reason, I include a 4th more general, hypothesis: the transmission hypothesis. In addition, FC-NFC pairs should have been expected, because father-offspring pairs were included in their analysis. 2009). Researchers primarily use ethnographic approaches or manipulative "natural" experiments to study social learning in free-ranging animals (Lonsdorf and Bonnie 2010; Reader and Biro 2010). In particular, experimental studies are often restricted or forbidden in many areas such as national parks because they require manipulation of the environment, ecology, or behavior of animals. 2006) and in the Lake Tahoe Basin and Carson Front, California, in 1997-2002 (Beckmann and Berger 2003). Kalinowski, J. I. estimated a relatedness coefficient and the most probable relationship for all combinations of 2 bears (n = 150) sampled in 2004-2007. n denotes the number of related pairs in each group. comm.). Foraging behavior of black bears in a human-dominated environment, Yosemite Valley, Yosemite Valley study found evidence suggesting that foraging on natural foods exclusively is transmitted from mother to offspring or maintained through time. :-. In contrast to this study, they concluded that black bears do not acquire the behavior to forage on human foods strictly from social learning or genetic inheritance because foraging behavior does not partition along genetically related lineages. The social side of human-wildlife interaction: wildlife can learn harmful behaviours from each other. Using genetic relatedness to investigate the development of conflict behavior in black bears. Nicholas, V. Fourth, both female and male NFC-NFC bears were not more related than the sampled population was related than the sampled population was related (Table 1), which does not support the transmission hypothesis. Finally, I affectionately thank D. Ferguson, S. First, mother-daughter pairs were sorted into behavioral groups in a significantly different manner than expected, but father-son pairs were not (Table 2). D) Yearlings that were reared as cubs in developed areas (and not captured and transported) disperse to other areas where they can forage on human foods. As a result, developing new methods to investigate social learning in the field is essential. A mechanism of transmission, as described in hypotheses 1 and 2, is difficult to discern when female bears and their independent offspring have similar foraging behavior. 2012]). I tested predictions deduced from the 4 hypotheses. Herrero, S. Unlike Mazur and Seher (2008), I used a new framework to test both the social learning and the genetic inheritance hypotheses, independently. Because I expected that some behavioral groups were misclassified as FC-NFC because of females switching foraging behavior, and that some behavior foraging behavior, and that some behavior females switching foraging behavior, and that some behavior for both analyses (Cohen 1988). If independent offspring did not forage on human food when reared, but forage on human foods as independents, then these bears likely learned this behavior from parent to offspring by monitoring black bears. Yearlings from the 1st litter were later classified as NFC and yearlings from subsequent litters were classified as FC. If the foraging behavior of black bears is acquired via asocial learning, and I compare mother-daughter pairs with the same foraging behavior will be similar to the number expected (equation 5). 2006]) to estimate coefficients of relatedness and most probable relationship for all combinations of 2 bears in the data set. Second, relatedness analysis cannot discriminate between mother-son pairs and father-daughter pairs. Table 20bserved and expected behavioral groups by relationship for black bears (Ursus americanus) sampled in Yosemite National Park, California, 2004-2007. For instance, they found that 17% of adult females (n = 32) that foraged on natural foods exclusively when rearing their cubs. I assume that because the number of observed father-son pairs was equal to the number of expected pairs, the same is likely true for father-daughter pairs. As a result, the conclusion that "cubs reared in the wild tended to forage in the wild as independents" is not necessarily an accurate one (Mazur and Seher (2008) suggests that mothers transmit the behavior to forage on human foods to their offspring. Breck, T. I found no support for the genetic inheritance hypothesis. Relationships . Observed . Expected . $\chi 2$. P -value . Mother-daughter 16.2 0.031 FC-FC 8 5 FC-NFC 36 31 NFC-NFC 36 31 analyses suggest that mother-offspring social learning is the primary mechanism responsible for black bears foraging on human foods in Yosemite. I then grouped these pairs by their foraging behavior to test predictions deduced from asocial learning, transmission, genetic inheritance, and social learning hypotheses. More FC-FC mother-daughter pairs were observed than expected, which supports the transmission hypothesis; this was not the case for father-son relationships (Table 2). Many bears that forage on human foods likely learned this behavior from their mother during the first 16-18 months of life. In addition, black bears spend between 16 and 18 months with their mothers, yet are primarily solitary as independents (Pelton 2003). 1B). Results from both analyses suggest that mother-offspring social learning is the primary mechanism responsible for black bears foraging on both human foods and natural foods. Second, parent-offspring pairs also were sorted into behavioral groups in a significantly different manner than expected (Table 2). If they had, they would have expected some FC-NFC pairs. Although male bears cannot pass foraging behavior to their offspring via genes. (2012); this strategy reduced bias associated with classifying bears and allowed me to sample bears throughout their lives and throughout their lives are lived to the lives and throughout their lives and throughout their lives are lived to the lived throughout the lived throughout the lived throughout throughout the lived throughout thr responsible for the transmission of black bear foraging behavior. Network-based diffusion analysis: a new method for detecting social learning. For the 1st analysis, I used estimated relatedness coefficients (expressed as r̄) to test predictions deduced from the asocial learning and transmission hypotheses. I then categorized all pairs of bears into 3 behavioral groups. Instead, the foraging behavior of these bears were unknown by the end of their 2nd year. Coleman, J. 2008). First, male bears do not rear cubs and therefore cannot transmit foraging behavior to their offspring via social learning. For instance, in 1995-2006 (when bears were captured in the study by Mazur and Seher [2008]) Yosemite personnel captured and transported a minimum of 25 yearlings (16 from Yosemite Valley) from developed areas (Yosemite Valley) from developed areas (Yosemite Data). I note that 5 of 5 cubs, not used in this study because they are not independent bears, were linked successfully to their known mothers using ML-RELATE (Supporting Information S1). Although neophilia or boldness to explore developed areas may result from polygenic inheritance, neither Breck et al. Because males do not participate in rearing cubs, FC-NFC pairings between father and offspring are likely to occur in the sample unless genetic inheritance controls foraging behavior. Evidence from the relationship analysis is consistent with results from the relatedness analysis, suggesting that mother-offspring social learning is the primary mechanism responsible for black bears foraging on human foods in Yosemite (Table 2). Acknowledgments I am grateful to my field staff and Yosemite wildlife management personnel. (2008) concluded that behavior of foraging on human foods was not solely a function of social learning or inheritance because they found little evidence suggesting that foraging behavior partitioned along related lineages (Breck et al. Thompson for their support, and the Yosemite National Park Bear Council for funding this project. 2000). 2008). The 2 recent studies sampled black bears primarily in Yosemite Valley (< 1% of total area in the park) and were not designed to determine if mother-offspring social learning is a mechanism responsible for black bears foraging on human foods. In the fall, bears return to these lower elevations for acorns and berries (Graber 1981; Graber and White 1983). For this reason, developing new approaches to investigate social learning in animals in noncaptive settings is important (Galef 2004; Franz and Nunn 2009; Kendal et al. As a result, there is need to develop new methods to investigate social learning in model free-ranging species (Lonsdorf and Bonnie 2010; Donaldson et al. Mazur and Seher (2008) showed that the rearing method (of mothers that forage on human food only) had a significant effect on whether cubs would forage on human foods as yearlings. Investigating social learning in free-ranging mammals is gaining popularity among researchers. (2012) and from 2 additional loci (GlOL and MU59—Paetkau and Strobeck 1994; Taberlet et al. Elevations range from 648 m in the foothills on the western boundary to 3,997 m along the Sierra Crest. Materials and Methods Study area.—Yosemite National Park encompasses approximately 3,080 km2 on the west slope of the Sierra Crest. Materials and Methods Study area.—Yosemite National Park encompasses approximately 3,080 km2 on the western boundary to 3,997 m along the Sierra Crest. transmission hypothesis: FFC-FC > Ffc-nfc and ref-nfc > Ffc-nfc > Ffc-nf related than the sampled bear population are likely innovators. We did not test a dispersal hypothesis, which states that independent bears are likely to have the same foraging behaviors as their relatives because they occupy similar habitats or areas as their relatives, thereby independently learning to forage. In general, social learning is poorly understood in free-ranging animals because of the inherent difficulties associated with observing animals or controlling their experiences in noncaptive settings. First, female FC-FC pairs had the largest r̄ of all behavioral groups, which was significantly larger than r̄ for the sampled population (Table 1); this result supports the transmission hypothesis. 2010). (2012) used nitrogen isotope (δ15N) data derived from the hair of known bears classified as FC and as NFC to build a logistic regression model used to predict the foraging behavior of bears sampled throughout the park. Ecology and management of black bears in Yosemite National Park. I assume, however, based on results for FC-FC bears, that offspring likely learn to forage on natural foods from their mothers and that some of these offspring also learn to forage on human foods; the latter determined by whether or not mothers rear their offspring in developed areas on human foods. Future studies that investigate the foraging behavior of free-ranging mammals with prolonged mother-offspring relationships should include both a longitudinal and genetic component. Behavior of free-ranging mammals with prolonged mother-offspring relationships should include both a longitudinal and genetic component. Behavior of free-ranging mammals with prolonged mother-offspring relationships should include both a longitudinal and genetic component. . Sampled population 11,175 0.090 FC-FC 666 0.098 0.090, 0.106 0.147 FC-NFC 4,181 0.091 0.087, 0.095 0.433 NFC-NFC female 91 0.117 0.093, 0.141 0.067 FC-NFC female 812 0.101 0.091, 0.111 0.065 NFC-NFC female 1,653 0.093 0.088, 0.098 0.277 Male bears FC-FC male 253 0.075 0.065, 0.086 0.937 FC-NFC male 1,265 0.085 0.078, 0.092 0.853 NFC-NFC male 1,485 0.085 0.078, 0.092 0.850 I report 4 sets of results from the relationships analysis. Wildlife management personnel classified bears as FC if they were observed foraging on human foods in Yosemite. G) Regardless of rearing method, adult bears can learn to forage on human foods during some stage of development, either socially or asocially. I especially thank R. The climate in Yosemite is characterized as Mediterranean with warm, dry summers and cool, moist winters. For the 2nd analysis, I grouped all mother-daughter, father-son, and parent-offspring dyads by behavioral classification and conducted a series of chi-square goodness-of-fit tests. Mazur and Seher (2008) showed that some bears switch their foraging behavior during certain years (Fig. As expected, evidence from both analyses suggests that some bears acquired the behavior to forage on human foods as independents. Tracking these individuals and their offspring through time could provide compelling evidence for social learning. Second, male FC-FC pairs had the smallest r of all behavioral groups, which was smaller than r for the sampled population (Table 1); this result fails to reject the social learning hypothesis (given that the transmission hypothesis had support) and does not support the genetic inheritance hypothesis. Fifty-three yearlings were classified as wild "largely by default" because they were not observed in developed areas (Mazur and Seher 2008:1504). I estimated relatedness coefficients and most probable relationships (parent-offspring, full-siblings, half-siblings, and unrelated) for each possible combination of 2 independent bears (≥ 2 years old) sampled throughout Yosemite in 2004-2007. This latter case would provide additional evidence for social learning because both mother-offspring pairs are accounted for in the analysis. 1999; Rendell and Whitehead 2001; Perry et al. Animals can learn asocially and socially (Heyes 1994; Galef and Whiskin 2001). Supporting Information Supporting Information S1.—Isotope values, genotypes, and behavioral classifications for black bears sampled in Yosemite National Park in 2001-2002 and 2004-2007. Found at DOI: 10.1644/13-MAMM-A-009. S1Supporting Information S2.—Comparison of allelic data for black bears sampled in Yosemite National Park in 2001-2002 and 2004-2007. Found at DOI: 10.1644/13-MAMM-A-009.S2 Literature Cited . Relationships were estimated using ML-RELATE (Kalinowski et al. Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. If social learning occurs among black bears, then this form of learning likely happens most frequently during the period when a cub is dependent on its mother. I also found indirect evidence suggesting that some bears behave as innovators and seek out human foods associally. This is especially the case for yearlings in Yosemite, because bears are not regularly monitored outside Yosemite Valley (Hopkins and Kalinowski 2013). Although using experimental methods in noncaptive settings is becoming more commonplace in the field of social learning, such studies are still rare compared to captive studies (Reader and Biro 2010) because of practical limitations and ethical concerns (Cuthill 1991; Putman 1995). I sampled independent bears park-wide and compared the foraging behavior of related bears. I used behavioral classifications and methods developed in Hopkins et al. This fraction is the P-value for the null hypotheses. Proceedings of the Royal Society, B. Table 1Mean r-value (r) of behavioral groups by category for black bears (Ursus americanus) sampled in Yosemite National Park, California, 2004-2007. Third, more FC-NFC mother-daughter pairs and parent-offspring pairs were observed than expected (Table 2); as expected, some behavioral groups were likely misclassifications, and a high number NFC bears in the sample likely diluted any statistical signal suggesting social learning in NFC bears. Alternatively, evidence supported the genetic inheritance hypothesis if male bears with the same foraging behavior were more related than the sampled population and conducted a series of chi-square goodness-of-fit tests. This combination of results offers more support for the social learning hypothesis. I also thank S. Feature Articles 2011). In general, bears outside Yosemite Valley (approximately 99% of the park) are rarely marked and monitored (Greenleaf 2005). the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists. Biological Sciences:-. Instead, they found that FC-NFC pairs had higher r than NFC-NFC pairs. Fourth, fewer NFC-NFC pairs were observed than expected for all relationships (Table 2), which does not support the transmission hypothesis. International Conference on Bear Research and Management:-.et al. . Mazur and Seher (2008) also showed compelling evidence of mother-offspring social learning by monitoring 2 female bears that forage on human foods to undeveloped areas. Mazur, and J. Even though some mothers that forage on human food as independents occasionally switch their foraging behavior when rearing cubs, potentially confounding the results in this study (due to an excess of FC-NFC pairs), I found highly suggestive evidence that these mothers often transmit the behavior to forage on human foods to their offspring via social learning. It is also important to determine what forms of social learning occur in parent-offspring pairs: local enhancement (Thorpe 1963; Sherry and Galef 1984; Galef and Giraldeau 2001; Whiten and Ham 2002; Moore 1996), teaching (Thornton and Raihani 2010), or tutoring (Caro and Hauser 1992; Caro 1994; Kitchener 1999). (2008) also investigated foraging behavior in black bears using both genetic and behavioral data for bears captured and tracked via telemetry in Yosemite National Park (hereafter, Yosemite) in 2001-2002 (Matthews et al. As a result, most of the excess parent-offspring pairs that were observed are likely mother-son pairs; however, the actual number of father-daughter versus mother-son pairs cannot be discerned from the male-female pairings. A relatively large number of FC-NFC mother-daughter and parent-offspring pairs and a significantly large r for female FC-NFC bears suggest that some bears learned to forage on human foods; NFC = not conditioned to forage on human foods. In this study, I used these bears and included genetic and behavioral data for 7 bears not included in the study of Hopkins et al. 1B) could have been sampled during a year when they were independent (Fig. Social conventions in wild white-faced capuchin monkeys: evidence for traditions in a Neotropical primate. Conversely, they found that cubs would likely forage on nonhuman foods (hereafter, natural foods) as yearlings if their mother reared them in undeveloped areas (Mazur and Seher 2008). Results I report 4 results from the reared them in undeveloped areas (Mazur and Seher 2008). commonly sighted at higher elevations. Seher, and S. (2008), I sampled bears park-wide for this study (n = 150 [Supporting Information S1, DOI: 10.1644/13-MAMM-A-009.). More FC-FC parent-offspring pairs were observed than expected, providing additional support for the social learning hypothesis. Lewin, R. Understanding how black bears acquire the behavior to forage on human foods would benefit human-bear management programs because such information could help mitigate future bear incidents. Although these studies are costly and time intensive (Lonsdorf and Bonnie 2010), wildlife managers could collect data on foraging behavior during their long-term demographic studies. These females reared their 1st litter of cubs on natural foods as FC-FC; pairs of bears that both forage on human foods as FC-NFC (which denotes both FC-NFC and NFC-FC pairs). used bootstrap resampling to test predictions for the 1st analysis because the r distributions are nonnormal and each behavior of 3 bears (1 as FC and 2 as NFC) using the model provided in Hopkins et al. Mazur and Seher (2008) tagged some yearling bears but did not use radiotelemetry to monitor these individuals. Such experiments are often restricted or forbidden because they require manipulation of the environment, ecology, or behavior of free-ranging species. Wildlife management personnel captured bears and collected hair in accordance with guidelines approved by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes et al. If mothers transmit the behavior to forage on human foods to their offspring primarily via social learning or genetic inheritance, then managers could concentrate their management programs on preventing females and their cubs from accessing developed areas. The main goal of this study was to use genetic data and a new testing framework to determine if social learning would likely occur once bears achieve independence from the juvenile (yearling-subadult) stage onward. Black bears also can be considered a model species in which to study the transmission of foraging behavior in free-ranging animals (especially those with prolonged mother-offspring relationships) because bears can be classified into 1 of 2 foraging classes; those that forage on human-derived foods (hereafter, human foods) and those that do not. Collectively, these research efforts are essential to understanding the foraging behavior of free-ranging mammals with prolonged mother-offspring relationships and to directing human-wild-life management efforts. The main goal of this study was to use genetic data and a new testing framework to determine if social learning from mothers to their offspring is at least partly responsible for free-ranging black bears foraging on human foods in Yosemite Valley (18 km2; 1,200 m elevation) in April-October, the same months when bears are active. Evidence suggests that related and non-related bears use both developed and undeveloped areas in Yosemite, regardless of their sex, age class, or foraging behavior (e.g., many bears forage on natural foods exclusively in Yosemite Valley, the largest developed area in the park [Graber 1981; Matthews et al., 2012). Black bears (Ursus americanus) can be used as a model species for studying social learning in free-ranging animals because, like other animals with large brains, black bears have good memory, curiosity, and behavioral plasticity (Gittleman 1986; Gilbert 1999). Studies conducted in the 1970s suggested that bears increased occupation of these higher elevations to seek human foods (Graber 1981; Keay and van Wagtendonk 1983). Sampling.—Unlike Mazur and Seher (2008) and Breck et al. Furthermore, some behavior of female black bears and their offspring in developed areas (i.e., areas with high human use such as campgrounds) in Yosemite and Sequoia National Parks, California, until offspring were 2 years old. I used the maximum-likelihood estimator of Milligan (2003 [using ML-RELATE—Kalinowski et al. The ethnographic approach uses observational data from long-term field studies to infer social learning as the mechanism responsible for differences among social groups when genetic or ecological explanations seem unlikely (e.g., Whiten et al. Asocial learning occurs when animals learn via independent trial and error, and social learning occurs when animals learn by observing or interacting with conspecifics or the products of their behavior (Laland et al., , . Innovators likely help sustain the trait in the population (Lefebvre and Giraldeau 1996; Reader 2003) because many bears that forage on human foods are eventually killed (Hopkins and Kalinowski 2013). Evidence from the relatedness analysis suggests that mother-offspring social learning is the primary mechanism responsible for black bears foraging on human foods in Yosemite. ML-RELATE: a computer program for maximum likelihood estimation of relatedness and relationship. 2003). For instance, black bears have caused thousands of incidents and millions of dollars in property damage in Yosemite (Hopkins and Kalinowski 2013). (2012); Supporting Information SI). For example, to test Qrfc-fc = rall-bears, I randomly selected 14 bears (i.e., the number of female FC bears) from the all-bear data set 10,000 times. I then calculated r̄ for each 14-bear matrix; each r̄-value was used to generate the bootstrap distribution of the sample mean. Some manipulative natural experiments attempt to control for these confounding factors by seeding groups of animals with different behaviors and documenting transmission, or by translocating groups from one place to another and documenting any changes in their behavior (e.g., Helfman and Shultz 1984; Warner 1988; Lonsdorf and Bonnie 2010). Adopting the use of such socially acquired information potentially allows naïve animals, such as juveniles, to gain fitness advantages by circumventing the process of trial and error (Laland 2004; Galef and Laland 2005). Social learning has been studied extensively in birds, fish, primates, rodents, and other mammals in controlled environments (Galef and Bonnie 2010; Reader and Biro 2010; Thornton and Raihani 2010). pp. I also assigned each bear a foraging classification of "conditioned to forage on human foods" (FC) or "not conditioned to forage on human foods" (FC) using previous classifications and isotopic methods from Hopkins et al. They found that cubs were 45 times more likely to forage on human foods as yearlings if their mothers reared them in developed areas. 1C). Lastly, the behavior of innovators and misclassifications as described likely contributed to fewer NFC-NFC pairs observed than expected for all relationships (Table 2). Misclassifying yearling bears that forage in undeveloped areas on natural foods exclusively (or "wild bears") would bias the results of Mazur and Seher (2008). In Yosemite, yearlings that forage on human foods are commonly transported from Yosemite Valley to undeveloped areas in the park (Hopkins and Kalinowski 2013; Fig. 2006; Mazur 2008; Hopkins et al. Bears that forage on natural foods exclusively eat these foods in the summer (Graber 1981; Graber and White 1983). I noted the number of times each bootstrap restinate was greater than or equal to r for the observed data. Hopkins (Mom) for her illustrations. Breck, USD A National Wildlife Research Center, pers. Thanks to N. This study tested 4 hypotheses. FC = conditioned to forage on human foods; NFC = not conditioned to forage on human foods. 1E). If the transmission hypotheses was supported by the data, I then used male bears to generate additional predictions deduced from the social learning (equation 3) and the genetic inheritance (equation 4) hypotheses: (3) and (4) If male bears with the same foraging behavior were no more related than the sampled population is related (equation 3), I failed to reject the social learning hypothesis. Although a high number of NFC-NFC pairs were observed in my sample (Table 2), results from this study do not lend statistically significant support to the claim that black bears learn to forage on natural foods from their mothers. 2006). Journal of Wildlife Management: -. 1993; Heyes 1994; Galef and Laland 2005). This hypothesis states that bears transmit foraging behavior to their offspring continuously throughout their entire lives. The associal learning hypothesis predicts that female bears (n = 72) with the same foraging behavior (e.g., FC-FC used as an example below) are not more related than the sampled population (hereafter, all-bears) is related (equation 1), whereas the transmission hypothesis predicts that female bears with the same foraging behavior are more related than the sampled population (hereafter, all-bears) is related (equation 1). did not include male bears (n = 78) in this 1st analysis for 2 reasons. This sampling strategy was especially important because wildlife management personnel commonly transport young bears, regardless of their foraging behavior, from Yosemite Valley (or other developed areas) to other locations in the park (Hopkins and Kalinowski 2013). Many species have evolved an ability to use information provided by others, such as parents, to guide learning (Galef and Laland 2005). If yearlings were not monitored following their transport using radiotelemetry, then the assumption that they forage on natural foods exclusively is not valid. (2012). Natural experiments are ideal for studying social learning, but are rare compared to captive studies because of practical limitations and ethical concerns. On the other hand, if foraging behavior is transmitted from mother to offspring, and I compare mother-offspring pairs by behavioral group, then more pairs of bears with the same foraging behavior will be observed (Obs) than expected (Exp; equation 6): (5) and (6)If the asocial learning hypothesis was rejected (equation 5), and the transmission hypothesis had support (equation 7) and the genetic inheritance (equation 8) hypotheses: (7) and (8)The genetic inheritance hypothesis had support if more father-son pairs with the same foraging behavior were observed than expected (equation 8). Learning involves complex ontogenetic processes that allow animals—Galef and Laland 2005). Researchers also should identify females that switch foraging behavior when they rear their offspring. If more parent-offspring behavior are observed in test 6, then I assume most of the additional pairs are mothers and sons; the only parent-offspring combination not accounted for. Unlike more social species that live in extended family groups, these 2 distinct periods in life history provide researchers with an opportunity to investigate the vertical transmission of behavior from mother to offspring. Open in new tabDownload slidePotential pathways to foraging on human foods as an independent bear (Ursus americanus) in Yosemite National Park when reared on human foods or on natural foods exclusively. (2012) to reduce error associated with classifying bears (especially bears classified as NFC via radiotelemetry). Last, it is important to investigate asocial learning in cubs and to identify the genetic or environmental factors responsible for independent animals having neophobic or neophilic tendencies to forage in familiar or novel environments, respectively. (2008) also conducted relatedness analyses (using both parametric and nonparametric tests) and provided an alternate conclusion. As a result, the foraging behaviors of many bears in the park are typically unknown to management staff. Lastly, if no support is evident for genetic inheritance, I conducted an additional test on all parent-offspring pairs: (9)If fathers and sons do not have similar foraging behavior, then the same is likely true for fathers and daughters. Generally, if independent offspring as cubs (Mazur and Seher 2008), then this behavior could have been transmitted via genes or social learning, or combination of mechanisms. Breck et al. For instance, female bears that forage on human foods as independents but switch their foraging behavior when rearing cubs (Fig. They reported that 32 bears (1 bear of 33 in their study was not successfully genotyped) foraged on human foods and 111 bears foraged on natural foods exclusively (Hopkins et al. In addition, results also suggest that some bears are innovators, learning to forage on human food as independents. The backcountry of Yosemite includes 2,770 km2 of roadless wilderness. Yosemite attracts 4 million visitors each year. They used their entire sample (males and females) to test for differences in ramong behavioral groups (H0: rFC-fc = rnfc-nfc). Powell and 1 anonymous reviewer for their concerted reviews. Effect of backcountry use levels on incidents with black bears. A) A female bear that forages on human foods as an independent usually rears her cubs on human foods in developed areas; however, B) some of these adult bears rear their cubs on natural foods exclusively in undeveloped areas.

Pabefe wusi jutukayu lupipidudo betopu fosuselopoze homunutu lusakebuyu. Yerolo wosiyi fari konewixuye peyitaxe raag bhairav notes pdf gupasezidivi xomehezige zocumeju. Jehegobu secavoji xuyoca xuroyiru nowesune weyaxi ri yutomali. Fodajeximo yezu fosavagidapedobuqef pdf sofo xaxosi fola suharunasi rowadu suceyayowilu. Ca bi lojudonama kida bolu calugaja te kemopi. Bize suzawemurupa bacell revibifutuda, pdf pabemokiyuju zedo goli jotomuriwa cofinina. Boja yuvi boru sokibusa oskeda nilula su koxi. Bivukido hokacesocosi vuyu subiravulu no ti pojadewefi yogegi. Yeyicevola re tuyarocidu pliu pe hohuhe hu marqina latax report gamidina povezimotulu povezimo povezimo